# An Analysis of Network Configuration Artifacts LISA '09, November 5, 2009



David Plonka & Andres Jaan Tack {plonka,tack}@cs.wisc.edu

## **Motivation and Goals**

- Like software quality, network reliability is evolving:
  - Expectation of high availability, increasing reliance
  - Increasing numbers of skilled practitioners
  - Increasing level of automation

## **Motivation and Goals**

- Like software quality, network reliability is evolving:
  - Expectation of high availability, increasing reliance
  - Increasing numbers of skilled practitioners
  - Increasing level of automation
- However, the management of networks and the Internet has not received similar attention to the development of software.

# **Motivation and Goals**

- Like software quality, network reliability is evolving:
  - Expectation of high availability, increasing reliance
  - Increasing numbers of skilled practitioners
  - Increasing level of automation
- However, the management of networks and the Internet has not received similar attention to the development of software.
- We propose an *analogy-based analysis*, and that these elements are akin to each other:
  - Networks : Software Systems
  - Network Engineering : Software Engineering
  - Network Operators : Programmers

#### **Campus Network**



## **Network Artifacts**

- artifact an object created by humans, especially one remaining from a particular period
- Network Performance Measurements
- Network Management Systems' Topology
- Trouble Tickets
- Network Device Configurations
  - Routers, switches, firewalls
  - Network practitioners use Source Code Management (SCM) of device configurations for:
    - Configuration backups
    - Communicating changes

## **Network Configuration Repositories**



#### **Networks Studied**

| Network             | Period<br>in Years | Operators<br>(super-      | Devices /<br>Configuration | Revisions | Lines of<br>Code |
|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Campus              | 5+                 | <i>users)</i><br>343 (64) | <b>Files</b><br>3,839      | 128,394   | 2,898,362        |
| Service<br>Provider | 10+                | 31 (31)                   | 519                        | 41,787    | 163,882          |

# Mining SCM Repositories - Why?

- While successful in the PL community, this hasn't been leveraged in the context of network configuration and management.
- To visualize and elucidate network operation with the goal of understanding and improving the practice.

# Mining SCM Repositories - How?

- Convert existing custom network version control system repositories to common CVS repositories.
- Use existing tools from the Programming Language (PL) and open source developer communities, e.g.:
  - StatCVS-XML
  - cvs2cl (CVS to ChangeLog)
- Perform additional static file analyses, e.g.:
  - Syntax-aware statistics (i.e. config stanzas)
  - Revision lifetimes

## **Configuration Files / Code Sample**

version 12.2

no service pad

service timestamps debug datetime localtime

service timestamps log datetime localtime

service password-encryption

```
hostname s-bldg-5-2-access
```

```
spanning-tree mode rapid-pvst
```

no spanning-tree optimize bpdu transmission spanning-tree extend system-id

ļ

I

# Code Sample (2)

interface FastEthernet1/0/1

- description sample 100Mbps ethernet interface
- switchport access vlan 42
- switchport mode access
- ip access-group nodhcpserver in
- snmp trap mac-notification change added
- snmp trap mac-notification change removed
- no snmp trap link-status
- no mdix auto
- spanning-tree portfast
- spanning-tree bpduguard enable
- spanning-tree guard root

## Code Sample (3)

ip access-list extended nodhcpserver

ļ

```
remark Id: ndhcp.acl,v 1.2 2005-05-20 11:26:03 ashley Exp
deny udp any eq bootps any
permit ip any any
```

```
access-list 5 permit 192.2.0.1
access-list 5 remark Allow foo, bar, and baz servers
access-list 5 permit 192.2.0.10
access-list 5 permit 192.2.0.11
```

#### **Campus File / Device Count**



## Campus LOC by Topology

**Campus Network** 



#### Campus LOC per Module



#### **Campus Size Per Author**



📕 alexander 📕 anne 📕 annie 📙 antonio 📕 betty 📕 bradley 📕 cathy 📕 christina 🔳 cindy 📕 daniel 📕 danny 📕 debbie 📕 deborah 📕 don 📕 edwin 🔳 elizabeth 📕 frederick 📕 glenn 📕 grace 💛 jason 📕 jim 📕 jimmy 🔲 joe 📕 jose 📕 juanita 📕 judith 📕 kathryn 📕 kelly 📕 kimberly 📕 leonard 💻 micheal 💻 michele 📒 mike 📕 monica 💻 paula 📕 ray 📕 raymond 💻 renee 📒 rhonda 📒 samuel 📕 shannon 💻 steve 🔲 steven 🔳 tiffany 📕 tom 📕 tracy 📕 travis 📕 troy 📕 victor 📕 virginia 📕 wayne 📕 ann 📕 barry 📕 catherine 🚽 dana 📕 danielle 📕 diane 🗏 howard 📕 janice 📕 jay 📕 jeffery 📕 jeffrey 📕 john 📕 jonathan 📕 justin 📕 lauren 📕 margaret 📒 maria 📕 matthew 📕 mildred 📕 phyllis 📕 rebecca 📕 sharon 📙 stanley 📒 aaron 📕 amy 📕 brian 📕 ellen 📕 joseph 📕 josephine 📕 nicole 📕 pamela 📕 patrick 📕 randy 📕 russell 📕 ruth 📕 timothy 📕 valerie 📕 vincent 📒 craig 📕 douglas 📕 gail 📕 gloria 📕 kathleen 📕 linda 📕 michelle 📕 nicholas 📕 samantha 📕 thelma 📕 victoria 📕 wendy 💻 amber 💛 brenda 📕 carmen 🗖 clarence 📕 david 📕 edna 📕 ieremy 🚽 ioann 📕 iuan 📕 lisa 📕 thomas 🗏 alice 📕 doris 📕 iackie 📕 marcus 📕 mark 📕 norma 📕 vyonne 💻 amanda 📕 annette 📕 jamie 📕 Jawrence 📕 nancy 📙 robert 📕 sylvia 📕 theodore 🗏 julia 📕 ana 📕 carolyn 📕 barbara 📕 jacqueline 📕 bobby 📕 jack 📕 Jaura 📕 rosa 📕 shawn 📙 heather 📕 michael 📕 mary 📕 sandra 📕 sheila 📕 vivian 📙 donna 📒 carl 📕 charles 📕 geraldine 📕 francis 🔳 lynn 📕 william 📕 albert 📕 carol 📕 sherry 📕 roy 📕 stacy 📕 pauline 📕 wanda 📕 christopher 📕 eleanor 💛 henry 📕 christine 📕 anita 🗏 judy 📕 andrea 📕 helen 🔳 billy 📕 randall 📕 phillip 📕 crystal 📕 gladys 📕 darlene 📕 luis 📒 jacob 💻 jean 💻 alan 📕 miguel 📕 charlotte 📕 clara 💶 donald 📒 george 📕 jessica 📕 martin 📕 herbert 📕 frances 📕 jeff 📕 james 📕 megan 📕 veronica 📕 tina 📕 jill 📕 harold 📕 jane 📕 fred 📕 jerry 📒 hazel 📕 chris 🗖 susan 🔲 scott 📕 tony 📕 eugene 📕 leroy 📕 joshua 📕 erin 📕 todd 📕 richard 📕 rita 📕 anthony 📒 ryan 📒 marvin 📒 kenneth 📕 carrie 📕 eva 📕 norman 📒 audrey 📕 eric 📕 angela 📕 ethel 🗏 johnny 🔳 walter 📕 ronald 📕 regina 📕 keith 📕 bruce 📕 andrew 📕 edward 📕 shirley 📕 lois 📕 gregory 📕 melvin 👎 florence 📕 anna 📕 emma 📕 gerald 📕 april 📕 brandon 📕 ruby 📕 edith 📕 nathan 📕 peggy 📕 sara 📕 ashley 📕 kevin

#### **Campus Size Per Group**

#### **Campus Network by Device Type**

Lines Of Code (per author)



#### **Campus Commits by Hour**



## **Common Commit Comments**

| Comment              | Frequency   |
|----------------------|-------------|
| Initial revision     | 1487 (2.7%) |
| test                 | 812 (1.5%)  |
| asdf                 | 593 (1.1%)  |
| 'newer bulk checkin' | 411 (0.7%)  |
| change vlan          | 316 (0.6%)  |

#### An Anomaly

| Author                       | Revisions        | Lines of Code      | Added Lines of<br>Code | Lines of Code per<br>Change |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <u>net</u>                   | 63468<br>(47.2%) | 2418758<br>(82.9%) | 3313853 (74.1%)        | 38.11                       |
| <u>authorized-</u><br>agents | 38625<br>(28.8%) | 1821 (0.1%)        | 208956 (4.7%)          | 0.05                        |
| <u>system</u>                | 11218<br>(8.4%)  | -8795 (-0.3%)      | 125618 (2.8%)          | -0.78                       |
| noc                          | 10715<br>(8.0%)  | 100099 (3.4%)      | 303481 (6.8%)          | 9.34                        |
| field                        | 6122 (4.6%)      | 57582 (2.0%)       | 152498 (3.4%)          | 9.41                        |
| contract                     | 3959 (2.9%)      | 348207<br>(11.9%)  | 368518 (8.2%)          | 87.95                       |
| security                     | 230 (0.2%)       | 103 (0.0%)         | 1898 (0.0%)            | 0.45                        |



# **Evaluating Practitioner Effort**

- Measurements of practitioner effort
  - How often are "fixes" introduced?
  - How often do configurations change?
  - "Bad Days" (are Friday checkins more buggy?)
- Look toward improvements:
  - Syntax-aware revision analysis (stanzas)
  - How do we direct tool development?

## Campus Commits by Day



## **Revision Lifetimes**

- How long does a revision last before it is next modified?
  - Suggests the modus operandi of practitioners
  - Suggests the value or the staying power of a revision
  - Might also suggest some measure of network volatility

## Campus Revision Lifetimes (<3.5 days)



## Campus Revision Lifetimes (<10 min)



#### % Short-Lived Revisions by Day



## % Short-Lived Revisions by Day



#### **Campus Average File Size**



#### Service Provider Average File Size



## Campus Revisions by Stanza Type

| Stanza Type   | Total Revision | <b>Revisions per</b> |   |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|---|
|               | Count          | Instance             |   |
| interface     | 471,23         | 8 4                  | 4 |
| vlan          | 25,59          | 1 1                  |   |
| global        | 12,53          | 4 4                  | 4 |
| logging       | 12,39          | 0 9                  | ) |
| ip            | 12,006         |                      |   |
| bridge        | 4,353          |                      |   |
| line          | 3,936          |                      |   |
| banner        | 3,81           | 0 1                  |   |
| dot11         | 3,324          |                      |   |
| control-plane | 3,01           | 3 1                  | 1 |

## Some Conclusions

- With varying device types, LOC is an erratic metric for the stanza-based, declarative network configuration language, (such as Cisco IOS)
- Analysis of network configurations exposes
   pertinent network management details including:
  - Group behaviors
  - Outstanding practitioners
  - Change times
  - High level of user compliance, but some curiosities
  - Tool-based efficiencies both expected and invented

## Contributions

- An initial application of software development analysis tools to network operations based on existing, freely-available tools
- Beginnings of a network operations-specific measurement of practitioner effort to guide tool development, such as SCM and IDE-like tools for network operators
- In our case studies, this analogy-based analysis approach shows promise based on feedback by expert interviews.

#### **Discussion and Future Work**

- As in software, can we identify and investigate code decay, refactorings, and code clones?
- Leverage other artifacts to measure practitioner compliance and network service reliability and performance.
- Develop a complexity metric based on stanzas and inter-stanza references. (see Benson, et al., NSDI 2009)

# An Analysis of Network Configuration Artifacts LISA '09, November 5, 2009



David Plonka & Andres Jaan Tack {plonka,tack}@cs.wisc.edu