

The LHC Computing Challenge: Preparation, Reality and Future Outlook

Tony Cass Leader, Database Services Group Information Technology Department

10th November 2010

- Introduction to CERN, LHC and Experiments
- The LHC Computing Challenge
- Preparation
- Reality
- Future Outlook
- Summary/Conclusion

CERN

The fastest racetrack on the planet...



Trillions of protons will race around the 27km ring in opposite directions over 11,000 times a second, travelling at 99.99999991 per cent the speed of light.

CERN

The emptiest space in the solar system...



To accelerate protons to almost the speed of light requires a vacuum as empty as interplanetary space. There is 10 times more atmosphere on the moon than there will be in the LHC.



One of the coldest places in the universe...



With an operating temperature of about -271 degrees Celsius, just 1.9 degrees above absolute zero, the LHC is colder than outer space.



The hottest spots in the galaxy...





When two beams of protons collide, they will generate temperatures 1000 million times hotter than the heart of the sun, but in a minuscule space.



The biggest most sophisticated detectors ever built...



To sample and record the debris from up to 600 million proton collisions per second, scientists are building gargantuan devices that measure particles with micron precision.



The most extensive computer system in the world...



To analyse the data, tens of thousands of computers around the world are being harnessed in the Grid. The laboratory that gave the world the web, is now taking distributed computing a big step further.



Why?

CERN

To push back the frontiers of knowledge...







Newton's unfinished business... what is mass?

Science's little embarrassment... what is 96% of the Universe made of?

Nature's favouritism... why is there no more antimatter?

The secrets of the Big Bang... what was matter like within the first second of the Universe's life?



CERN

To push back the frontiers of knowledge...







Newton's unfinished business... what is mass?

Science's little embarrassment... what is 96% of the Universe made of?

Nature's favouritism... why is there no more antimatter?

The secrets of the Big Bang... what was matter like within the first second of the Universe's life?



To develop new technologies...





Information technology - the Web and the Grid

Medicine - diagnosis and therapy

Security - scanning technologies for harbours and airports

Vacuum - new techniques for flat screen displays or solar energy devices



To unite people from different countries and cultures...



20 Member states

- 38 Countries with cooperation agreements
- **111 Nationalities**
- 10000 People



To train the scientists and engineers of tomorrow...



From mini-Einstein workshops for five to sixes, through to professional schools in physics, accelerator science and IT, CERN plays a valuable role in building enthusiasm for science and providing formal training..









... generate lots of data ...

The accelerator generates 40 million particle collisions (events) every second at the centre of each of the four experiments' detectors



... generate lots of data ...

reduced by online computers to a few hundred "good" events per second.



Which are recorded on disk and magnetic tape at 100-1,000 MegaBytes/sec -> ~15 PetaBytes per year for all four experiments

- Current forecast ~ 23-25 PB / year, 100-120M files / year
 - ~ 20-25K 1 TB tapes / year
- Archive will need to store 0.1 EB in 2014, ~1Billion files in 2015

which is distributed worldwide



Tier-0 (CERN): •Data recording •Initial data reconstruction •Data distribution

Tier-1 (11 centres): •Permanent storage •Re-processing •Analysis

Tier-2 (~130 centres):SimulationEnd-user analysis



See

http://dashb-earth.cern.ch/dashboard/doc/guides/servicemonitor-gearth/html/user/setupSection.html

For the Google Earth monitoring display



What were the challenges in 2007?

- Introduction to CERN and Experiments
- LHC Computing
- Challenges
 - Capacity Provision
 - Box Management
 - Data Management and Distribution
 - What's Going On?
- Summary/Conclusion



- Introduction to CERN and Experiments
- LHC Computing
- Challenges
 - Capacity Provision
 - Box Management
 - Data Management and Distribution
 - What's Going On?
- Summary/Conclusion



CERN

The Grid

- Timely Technology!
- **Deploy** to meet LHC computing needs.
- Challenges for the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid Project due to
 - worldwide nature
 - competing middleware...
 - newness of technology
 - competing middleware...
 - scale





Remaining Challenges

- Creating a working Grid service across multiple infrastructure is clearly a success, but challenges remain
 - Reliability
 - Ramp-up
 - Collaboration
 - From computer centre empires to a federation
 - consensus rather than control





- Introduction to CERN and Experiments
- LHC Computing
- Challenges
 - Capacity Provision
 - Box Management
 - Installation & Configuration
 - Monitoring
 - Workflow
 - Data Management and Distribution
 - What's Going On?
- Summary/Conclusion





Toolkit developed by CERN in collaboration with many HEP sites and as part of the European DataGrid Project. See http://cern.ch/ELFms

- Introduction to CERN and Experiments
- LHC Computing
- Challenges
 - Capacity Provision
 - Box Management
 - Data Management and Distribution
 - What's Going On?
- Summary/Conclusion





Volumes & Rates

- 15PB/year. Peak rate to tape >2GB/s
 3 full SL8500 robots/year
- Requirement in first 5 years to reread all past data between runs
 - 60PB in 4 months: 6GB/s
- Can run drives at sustained 80MB/s
 - 75 drives flat out merely for controlled access
- Data Volume has interesting impact on choice of technology
 - Media use is advantageous: high-end technol (3592, T10K) favoured over LTO.

- Introduction to CERN and Experiments
- LHC Computing
- Challenges
 - Capacity Provision
 - Box Management
 - Data Management and Distribution
 - What's Going On?
- Summary/Conclusion



A Complex Overall Service

- Site managers understand systems (we hope!). But do they understand the service?
 - and do the users?
 - and what about cross site issues?
 - Are things working?
 - If not, just where is the problem?
 - how many different software components, systems and network service providers are involved in a data transfer site X to site Y?




And here's a couple more...









Two nominal beams together can melt ~1,000kg of copper. Current beams: ~100kg of copper.



TT40 Damage during 2004 High Intensity SPS Extraction / Goddard, B; Kain, V; Mertens, V; Uythoven, J; Wenninger, J



The beam was a 450 GeV full LHC injection patch of 5.4 TOP p+ in 200 punches, and was extracted from SPS LSS4 with the wrong trajectory

4.4 e12 at 3.5 TeV

Accelerator "fly by Oracle"

- Three accelerator database applications:
 - Short term settings and control configuration
 - Considered as "any other active component necessary for beam operation".
 - No database: no beam
 - Lose database: lose beam (controlled!)
 - Short term (7-day) real-time measurement log
 - Long term (20 yr+) archive of log subset



Dilution of dumped beams



This is the <u>ONLY</u> element in the LHC that can withstand the impact of the full 7 TeV beam ! Nevertheless, the dumped beam must be painted to keep the peak energy densities at a tolerable level !







- Long term (20 yr+) archive of log subset
 - •~2,000,000,000,000 rows; ~4,000,000,000/day





Responsibilities & Requirements

A non sleeping 24hr/day 365d/year running system

$\hfill\square$ Ensure safe detector operation

- anticipating the Detector Safety System (DSS) actions, triggering protection mechanisms on adverse conditions (high temperatures, high humidity, overcurrents, water leaks, electrical trips...)
- preventing potentially dangerous actions
- □ issuing alert notifications (alert screen, SMS, control room voice alerts)

□ Provide efficient detector operation

- making sure that voltages are present whenever the accelerator conditions allow for physics data taking
- guaranteeing that the controlled parameters are stable within their calibrated operating ranges





Control system size

~ 10^6 control system parameters

System Name	Number of PCs	Monitored Parameters	Controlled Parameters	
Tracker	14	350k	20k	
Calorimeter	14	5k	2k	
Muon	30	435k	30k	
Trigger DCS	2	lk	0.5k	
Alignment	3	3k	0.5k	
Services	35	20k	lk	
Total	98	934k	34k	

PVSS by ETM (now owned by Siemens)





Main supervisor panel











Main supervisor panel













Main supervisor panel





Outline

- Introduction to CERN, LHC and Experiments
- The LHC Computing Challenge
- Preparation
- Reality
- Future Outlook
- Summary/Conclusion



[Silence]

An impressive start

- CERN'S Member LHC commissioning proc unprecedented pace
- CERN Research Director Experiments showed their readine exploitation of the 7 TeV data...
- tate Representatives ...ready to follow with more complex trigge the increase of luminosity.
- Analyses proceeding very rapidly in all experiments and results being submitted for publication within days

Brilliant performances of the WLCG a key factor in the spectacular startup.

 GRID:
 2004

 • should allow everybody to do physics anywhere anytime
 • should not slow down delivery of physics results

 • must be transparent to the users
 • user support must be available 24 hours x 365 days x 15 years

 • basic tools (e.g. easy-to-understand diagnostic about failed jobs, job monitoring histos, status of job and resources) should be available to the end-users

 Fabiola Gianotti (ATLAS spokesperson)

More striking still is the speed with which the raw data are being processed. The freshest batch emerged from the LHC on July 18th and were moulded into meaningful results by July 21st, in time for the Paris conference. Not long ago this process would have taken weeks, says Fabiola Gianotti, the spokeswoman for ATLAS, one of the four main LHC experiments.

One reason is the development of the Grid, a computing network CERN hopes will prove a worthy successor to its previous invention, the World Wide Web. The Grid lets centres around the world crunch the numbers as soon as they come out of the machine. The Economist, 29th July

<u>6 months of</u> LHC data







• Ramp-up



Hardware ramp-up

Summary of Computing Resource Requirements														
	Erom LCC TDD Juno 2005													
Fro	m LCG	I DR - JUI	ne 2005											
					CERN	All Tier-1s	All T	ier-2s	Total					
					95	EG		64	140					
	CPU (MSPECINTZUUUS)				25	50 S 31		01	142					
Disk (PetaBytes)					7 7 F			19	57					
Tomo (Doto Dutoo)				10	` \			E 2						
Тар	be (Peta	Bytes)			10				53					
	2					b 1		Tomo						
	~ < ,	900			Ano	ther ~1	り() (boxes						
Country ^	Federation \diamond	Physical CPU 🗘	Logical CPU 🗘	HEPSPEC06 \diamond	CPU Pledge 🗘	Total Online Storage (GB) 🗘	Disk Pledge 🗘	Total Nearline Storage (GB)	Tape Pledge					
Switzerland	CH-CERN	4,496	17,644	197,308	233,400	18,181,259	14,790,000	30,957,13	31,600,000					
Total		4,496	17,644	197,308	233,400	18,181,259	14,790,000	30,957,13	7 31,600,000					
Canada	CA-TRIUMF	477	1,260	13,975	10,800	1,100,000	1,095,000	750,0	710,000					
France	FR-CCIN2P3	1,614	9,072	78,019	44,186	5,608,846	5,109,000	22,534,7	10 5,300,000					
Germany	DE-KIT	2,626	9,796	89,690	58,730	6,855,040	6,924,000	9,292,0	8,932,000					
Italy	IT-INFN-CNAF	2,252	8,192	85,516	44,000	12,796,781	5,300,000	9,510,0	5,450,000					
Netherlands	NL-T1	941	4,512	48,241	47,296	4,073,412	4,186,000	1,405,7	3,629,000					
Nordic	NDGF	10,660	10,660	60,890	13,710	3,166,358	1,720,000	1,464,0	0 1,770,000					
Spain	ES-PIC	592	2,368	24,144	17,238	3,106,092	1,968,000	3,804,6	97 2,136,000					
Taiwan	TW-ASGC	992	3,968	40,873	28,000	4,800,000	3,500,000	4,266,0	3,500,000					
UK	UK-T1-RAL	1,300	5,200	49,140	44,376	5,876,473	4,638,000	4,085,8	4,877,000					
USA	US-FNAL-CMS	1,692	6,768	44,400	44,400	4,100,000	4,100,000	11,000,0	00 11,000,000					
USA	US-T1-BNL	0	0	58,000	49,680	6,100,000	5,037,000	4,000,0	3,266,000					
Total		23,146	61,796	592,888	402,416	57,583,002	43,577,000	72,112,98	6 50,570,000					
	4.000HS06 = 1MSPECint2000													



The 2007 challenges

• Reliability

















Repositories at CernVM:

ATLAS, CMS, LHCb, ALICE, LCD, NA61, H1, BOSS HEPSOFT, Grid UI, LCG Externals

Ongoing: ATLAS Nightlies, ATLAS Conditions Database



Overall:600 GB,18.5 Mio. File System ObjectsRepository Core:97 GB (16%),3.3 Mio. File System Objects (18%)(+ 40 GB Archive Data)

The 2007 challenges

- Collaboration
 - From computer centre empires to a federation
 - Consensus rather than control

This remains a challenge in 2010!

We reach consensus on most issues, but

- •Communication is a headache with so many sites and a changing population
- •Site policies can be problematic in certain cases (e.g.

installation of setuid software) especially for sites that are not 100% HEP.

•We reinvent the wheel: quattor & Lemon not widely adopted by Tier1s and Tier2s

•although adopted after evaluation of various systems by a major financial institution with 10s of thousands of boxes.

Pilot Jobs



Grid sites generally want to maintain a high average CPU utilisation. Easiest to do this if there is a local queue of work to select from when another job ends. Users are generally interested in turnround times as well as job throughput.

Turnround is reduced if jobs are held centrally until a processing slot is known to be free at a target site.



More of the "grid intelligence" is in per-VO software than was imagined at the start of the Grid adventure. Pilot job systems ensure "joblets" are sent to a host that will provide immediate execution.

Pilot job will check for correct s/w environment before loading "joblets".

They also guarantee experiment control over job execution order. Low priority work can (will...) be pre-empted!


Data Reality

- Mass Storage systems have worked well for recording, export and retrieval of "production" data.
- But some features of the CASTOR system developed at CERN are unused or ill-adapted
 - experiments want to manage data availability
 - file sizes, file-placement policies and access patterns interact badly
 - alleviated by experiment management of data transfer between tape and disk...
 - analysis use favours low latency over guaranteed data rates
 - aggravated by experiment management of data; automated replication of busy datasets is disabled.

- Introduction to CERN, LHC and Experiments
- The LHC Computing Challenge
- Preparation
- Reality
- Future Outlook
 - Data Access
 - Virtualisation
- Summary/Conclusion

- Introduction to CERN, LHC and Experiments
- The LHC Computing Challenge
- Preparation
- Reality
- Future Outlook
 - Data Access
 - Virtualisation
- Summary/Conclusion



LHC Experiments



Data Futures – II

- Address hardware reliability issues in software
 - ... as is done elsewhere...
- Bring back model where storage system maintains multiple replicas of files, but drop disk mirroring
 - CERN switched from parity RAID a few years ago for I/O performance reasons.
- Growing interest in HADOOP at Tier2s.

Data Futures -

- Only a small subset of data distributed is actually used
- Experiments don't know a priori which dataset will be popular
 - CMS has 8 orders magnitude in access between most and least popular



ATLAS Data placement model



Dynamic data replication: create copies of popular datasets at multiple sites.

Data Futures – IV

• Network capacity is readily available...



Data Futures –

- Network capacity is readily available...
- ... and it is reliable:



Fibre cut during tests in 2009 Capacity reduced, but alternative links took over

Data Futures – IV

- Network capacity is readily available...
- ... and it is reliable.
- So why not simply copy data from another site
 - rather than recalling from tape?
 - if it not available locally?

- Introduction to CERN, LHC and Experiments
- The LHC Computing Challenge
- Preparation
- Reality
- Future Outlook
 - Data Access
 - Virtualisation
- Summary/Conclusion



Virtualisation has a cost for users...



- ob uses large memory (~2GB, with 250MB shared)
- Native is \sim 9% better than VM.
 - Worse than Simulation, because Reconstruction jobs have more I/O activity and the memory footprint is much larger.
- VM has memory overhead, so IVM case is swapping with high number of jobs.
- Pages can't be shared across VMs and 2VMs has more memory overhead. So 2VM case is swapping heavier.



- Virtualisation has a cost for users...
- ... but efficiency advantages for sites.

	Virtual Batch Worker Nodes					
	VM Slot #1	Accepts jobs for 24 H		Draining, runs unti	Draining, runs until job finishes	
	VM Slot #2	Accepts jobs for 24 H SHORT JOB SHORT JOB		Draining, runs until job finishes SHORT JOB		
	VM Slot #3					
	OS A		A	В		
	A		S B	В		
	A	B		B	A B	
	0 h	24 h	1	48 h	72 h	
CERN IT Department CH-1211 Geneva 23 Switzerland www.cern.ch/it	Integration of virtual machines in the batch system at CERN 5					



- Virtualisation has a cost for users...
- ... but efficiency advantages for sites.
- Although multiplication of entities is never a good thing...







- Virtualisation has a cost for users...
- ... but efficiency advantages for sites.
- Although multiplication of entities is never a good thing...
- ... but maybe users will switch to requesting whole machines, not single processors.



- Virtualisation has a cost for users...
- ... but efficiency advantages for sites.
- Although multiplication of entities is never a good thing...
- ... but maybe users will switch to requesting whole machines, not single processors.
- Can we cut out local workload management systems and dynamically instantiate VM images that connect directly to pilot job frameworks?

- A step to cloud computing?



- Virtualisation has a cost for users...
- ... but efficiency advantages for sites.
- Although multiplication of entities is never a good thing...
- ... but maybe users will switch to requesting whole machines, not single processors.
- Can we cut out local workload management systems and dynamically instantiate VM images that connect directly to pilot job frameworks?

- A step to cloud computing?

- Sharing VM images between sites?
 - Automatic security updates for small sites?
 - Trust needed to make remote images acceptable!

- Introduction to CERN, LHC and Experiments
- The LHC Computing Challenge
- Preparation
- Reality
- Future Outlook
- Summary/Conclusion

Conclusions

- Preparation for LHC Computing has been
 - Long
 - Technically challenging
 - Sociologically challenging
- but
 - Successful,
 - Capable of improvements based on experience with real data
- and also
 - An exciting adventure
 - With much more detail than I have been able to give here...