

Experiences with Eucalyptus: Deploying an Open Source Cloud

Rick Bradshaw - <u>bradshaw@mcs.anl.gov</u> Piotr T Zbiegiel - <u>pzbiegiel@anl.gov</u> Argonne National Laboratory



Overview

- Introduction and Background
- Eucalyptus experiences and observations
 - Scalability
 - Security
 - Support
- Our chosen support model
- Conclusions and future work

Introduction

- Clouds for scientific computing?
 - Magellan Project
 - buy or build
- What cloud software is available?
 - Different Cloud APIs
 - EC2 (http://aws.amazon.com/ec2/)
 - Rackspace (http://www.rackspacecloud.com/?CMP=Google_rackspace+cloud_exact)
 - Nimbus (http://www.nimbusproject.org/)
 - many more out there
- Why did we choose Eucalyptus?
 - EC2 compatibility
 - Open Source / Free
 - UEC from Ubuntu







Eucalyptus 1.6.2



Eucalyptus Scalability: Cluster sizes



- Tested Eucalyptus with various sized clusters (40, 80, 160, 240 nodes behind one cluster controller)
- All-around performance best with smaller clusters
- Performance deteriorated as clusters size grew due to iterative operations
- Eucalyptus instance termination operation is serial
 - Instances that don't terminate in a timely manner are communicated to all nodes
 - The process delays other activities while it works on terminating instances
 - Naturally, larger clusters result in longer execution times for such operations
 - Instance requests which never left the cluster controller due to errors are still "terminated" on the node controllers!



Eucalyptus Scalability: Load Testing

- Load tests were done to stress the software.
- Eucalyptus performed acceptably given enough time to complete requests
- Rapid churning (starting and stopping instances) gives Eucalyptus heartburn.
- Ran into hard limit on a single cluster controller
 - $_{\odot}$ Somewhere between 750 and 800 running VMs
 - Caused by message size limitation in cloud and cluster controller communication protocol

Security: Network Security

- Eucalyptus network mode: MANAGED-NOVLAN
- VM network traffic masquerades as Cluster Controller
- By default, VMs can communicate with Node Controllers and other internal systems. (BAD)
- iptables rules on node controllers
 - prevents VMs from making unwanted connections
 - No impact to cloud operation



Security: IDS

- Risk areas identified for the VMs
 - Outside IPs scanning/attacking VMs
 - VMs scanning/attacking outside IPs
 - VMs running suspect services
- Eucalyptus MANAGED-NOVLAN network model provides suitable IDS access
- IDS watches internal Cluster Controller interface
- Monitors all inbound and outbound traffic to the VMs
- Also monitors communication between security groups
- Can not see VMs communicating within a security group.

Security: Image Security Concerns

- Users can upload and register customized disk images
- Sys Admins must register kernel and ramdisk images
- Uploaded images automatically made public
 - Users must choose to change permissions
 - Contents of image can be inadvertently leaked
- Users can upload compromised images
 - A myriad of ways to backdoor
 - Bucket naming is fairly open
 - This even happened accidentally
- Users can upload images with exploitable vulnerabilities
 - $_{\circ}$ $\,$ Every user is a sys admin
 - We can recommend but not require best practices

User Support



User Support

- We chose a community based support model
 - forums(still haven't found one everyone agrees on)
 - o wikis
 - mailing lists
 - best effort documentation
- The difference between Job support and OS/VM support
 - the complexity is greatly increased
 - learning curve for users is steep
 - o pre-built images do not always work without effort
 - Kernels
 - KVM vs. Xen
 - startup environment

Conclusions

- Works but still evaluating other solutions
 - Nimbus
 - OpenStack
- Don't believe the hype
 - $_{\odot}$ every cloud stack has its qualities and faults
 - usage/API should help make the choice