CSET'09 Statistics

PC Chairs: Angelos Stavrou, GMU Jelena Mirkovic, USC/ISI

Program Committee

- Paul Barford, University of Wisconsin
- Andy Bavier, Princeton University
- Matt Bishop, University of California, Davis
- Thomas Daniels, Iowa State University
- Sonia Fahmy, Purdue University
- Carrie Gates, Computer Associates
- Alefiya Hussain, SPARTA Inc.
- Brent Kang, The University of North Carolina at Charlotte
- Vern Paxson, ICSI
- Sean Peisert, University of California, Davis
- Peter Reiher, University of California, Los Angeles
- Rob Ricci, University of Utah
- Mark Stamp, San Jose State University
- Kashi Vishwanath, Microsoft Research
- Vinod Yegneswaran, SRI International

Thanks!

Papers

- 27 submitted 9 accepted
- 3 papers clearly off topic, received 1 review
- Remaining papers received at least 3 reviews, 1 received 4
 - Telecon PC meeting, all decisions reached via a concensus between reviewers
 - Common problems in papers: novelty or timeliness for research papers, lessons learned/teaching experience for education papers
- 4 more found off topic
- 13 submissions about experimentation 4 accepted
- 5 submissions about testbeds/tools 3 accepted
- 4 submissions about education 2 accepted
- Eliminated COI by assigning reviews to PC members unrelated to any coauthor (no close collaborators, no same employer)
 - 2 submissions had a PC member as coauthor, these members stepped out of the PC meeting during their paper's discussion
 - 1 was accepted, 1 was rejected
- Confident that we selected best quality papers for the workshop

Program At a Glance

9:15 - 9.45	Keynote address: Michael Van Putte, DARPA
9:45 - 10:00	Break
10:00 - 11:00	2 papers on security education
11:00 - 12:00	2 papers on security experimentation
12:00 - 1:00	Lunch
1:00 - 2.30	3 papers on testbeds
2:30 - 2:45	Break
2:45 - 3.45	2 papers on experimentation tools
3:45 - 4:00	Break
4:00 - 5:30	Panel on science of security experimentation:
	John McHugh, Dalhousie University
	Jennifer Bayuk, Jennifer L Bayuk LLC
	Minaxi Gupta, Indiana University
	Roy Maxion, Carnegie Mellon University

Future of CSET

- The number of submissions increased from last year
 - We expect this trend to continue, true for past three years
 - This should improve the acceptance rate
- 25 out of 27 submissions were from people unrelated to DETER
 - We expect this ratio to increase, or stay the same
- Testbeds are still not as widely used as we'd like
 - Many researchers are not aware of them, we expect this to change (GENI, NCR)
- Ideally we'd like more submissions about:
 - Education, tools, experimental methodology, validating testbed results (but this greatly improved since last year)
- Likely with USENIX Security again next year