

Network and Security Research Center Department of Computer Science and Engineering Pennsylvania State University, University Park PA

TaintDroid: An Information-Flow Tracking System for Realtime Privacy Monitoring on Smartphones

OSDI'I0

William Enck, Peter Gilbert, Byung-Gon Chun, Landon P. Cox, Jaeyeon Jung, Patrick McDaniel, and Anmol N. Sheth

Smartphone Privacy?





(http://www.flickr.com/photos/pong/2404940312/)

Monitoring Smartphone Behavior

- There are tens of thousands of smartphone apps that provide both fun and valuable utility.
- General challenge: balance fun and utility with privacy
- Step I: "look inside" of applications to watch how they use privacy sensitive data
 - Iocation
 - phone identifiers
 - microphone
 - camera
 - address book





PENNSTATE

Challenges



- Goal: Monitor app behavior to determine when privacy sensitive information leaves the phone
- Challenges ...
 - Smartphones are resource constrained
 - Third-party applications are entrusted with several types of privacy sensitive information
 - Context-based privacy information is dynamic and can be difficult to identify even when sent in the clear
 - Applications can share information

Dynamic Taint Analysis

- Dynamic taint analysis is a technique that tracks information dependencies from an origin
- Conceptual idea:
 - Taint source
 - Taint propagation
 - Taint sink

• Limitations: performance and granularity is a trade-off



TaintDroid



- TaintDroid is a system-wide integration of taint tracking into the Android platform
 - Variable tracking throughout Dalvik VM environment
 - Patches state after native method invocation
 - Extends tracking between applications and to storage



• TaintDroid is a firmware modification, not an app

VM Variable-level Tracking

- We modified the Dalvik VM interpreter to store and propagate taint tags (a taint bit-vector) on variables.
- Local variables and args: taint tags stored adjacent to variables on the internal execution stack.
 - 64-bit variables span 32-bit storage
- Class fields: similar to locals, but inside static and instance field heap objects
- Arrays: one taint tag per array to minimize overhead

| out0 | | |
|----------------|--|--|
| out0 taint tag | | |
| out1 | | |
| out1 taint tag | | |
| (unused) | | |
| VM goop | | |
| | | |
| v0 == local0 | | |
| v0 taint tag | | |
| v1 == local1 | | |
| v1 taint tag | | |
| v2 == in0 | | |
| | | |
| v4 taint tag | | |

PENNS

DEX Propagation Logic



Data flow: propagate source regs to destination reg

| Op Format | Op Semantics | Taint Propagation | Description | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| const-op $v_A C$ | $v_A \leftarrow C$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \emptyset$ | Clear v_A taint | | |
| move-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| move-op- $R v_A$ | $v_A \leftarrow R$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(R)$ | Set v_A taint to return taint | | |
| return-op v_A | $R \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(R) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set return taint (\emptyset if void) | | |
| move-op- $E v_A$ | $v_A \leftarrow E$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(E)$ | Set v_A taint to exception taint | | |
| throw-op v_A | $E \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(E) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set exception taint | | |
| unary-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow \otimes v_B$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| binary-op $v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B \otimes v_C$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B) \cup \tau(v_C)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint $\cup v_C$ taint | | |
| binary-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_A \otimes v_B$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_A) \cup \tau(v_B)$ | Update v_A taint with v_B taint | | |
| binary-op $v_A v_B C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B \otimes C$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| aput-op $v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_B[v_C] \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(v_B[\cdot]) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_A)$ | Update array v_B taint with v_A taint | | |
| aget-op $v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B[v_C]$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_C)$ | Set v_A taint to array and index taint | | |
| sput-op $v_A f_B$ | $f_B \leftarrow v_A$ | $	au(f_B) \leftarrow 	au(v_A)$ | Set field f_B taint to v_A taint | | |
| sget-op $v_A f_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow f_B$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(f_B)$ | Set v_A taint to field f_B taint | | |
| iput-op $v_A v_B f_C$ | $v_B(f_C) \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(v_B(f_C)) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set field f_C taint to v_A taint | | |
| iget-op $v_A v_B f_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B(f_C)$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B(f_C)) \cup \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to field f_C and object reference taint | | |

DEX Propagation Logic



Data flow: propagate source regs to destination reg

| Op Format | Op Semantics | Taint Propagation | Description | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| const-op $v_A C$ | $v_A \leftarrow C$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \emptyset$ | Clear v_A taint | | |
| move-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B$ | $	au(v_A) \leftarrow 	au(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| move-op- $R v_A$ | $v_A \leftarrow R$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(R)$ | Set v_A taint to return taint | | |
| return-op v_A | $R \leftarrow v_A$ | $	au(R) \leftarrow 	au(v_A)$ | Set return taint (\emptyset if void) | | |
| move-op- $E v_A$ | $v_A \leftarrow E$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(E)$ | Set v_A taint to exception taint | | |
| throw-op v_A | $E \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(E) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set exception taint | | |
| unary-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow \otimes v_B$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| binary on a constant | | | Sat as taint to as taint 1 as taint | | |
| $\frac{binary}{binary} aget-op v_{1}$ | $_A v_B v_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B[v_C]$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_C)$ | | |
| aput-op $v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_B[v_C] \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(v_B[\cdot]) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_A)$ | Update array v_B taint with v_A taint | | |
| aget-op $v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B[v_C]$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_C)$ | Set v_A taint to array and index taint | | |
| sput-op $v_A f_B$ | $f_B \leftarrow v_A$ | $	au(f_B) \leftarrow 	au(v_A)$ | Set field f_B taint to v_A taint | | |
| sget-op $v_A f_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow f_B$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(f_B)$ | Set v_A taint to field f_B taint | | |
| iput-op $v_A v_B f_C$ | $v_B(f_C) \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(v_B(f_C)) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set field f_C taint to v_A taint | | |
| iget-op v _A v _B f _C | $v_A \leftarrow v_B(f_C)$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B(f_C)) \cup \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to field f_C and object reference taint | | |

DEX Propagation Logic



Data flow: propagate source regs to destination reg

| Op Format | Op Semantics | Taint Propagation | Description | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| const-op $v_A C$ | $v_A \leftarrow C$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \emptyset$ | Clear v_A taint | | |
| move-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B$ | $	au(v_A) \leftarrow 	au(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| move-op- $R v_A$ | $v_A \leftarrow R$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(R)$ | Set v_A taint to return taint | | |
| return-op v_A | $R \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(R) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set return taint (Ø if void) | | |
| move-op- $E v_A$ | $v_A \leftarrow E$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(E)$ | Set v_A taint to exception taint | | |
| throw-op v_A | $E \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(E) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set exception taint | | |
| unary-op $v_A v_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow \otimes v_B$ | $	au(v_A) \leftarrow 	au(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to v_B taint | | |
| bin <mark>am on a canada</mark> | | | Cat a taint to a taint I a taint | | |
| $\frac{bin}{bin}$ iget-op v_A v | $\mathcal{Y}_B f_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B(f_C) \qquad \tau$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B(f_C)) \cup \tau(v_B)$ | | |
| $aput-op v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_B[v_C] \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(v_B[\cdot]) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_A)$ | Update array v_B taint with v_A taint | | |
| aget-op $v_A v_B v_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow v_B[v_C]$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B[\cdot]) \cup \tau(v_C)$ | Set v_A taint to array and index taint | | |
| sput-op $v_A f_B$ | $f_B \leftarrow v_A$ | $	au(f_B) \leftarrow 	au(v_A)$ | Set field f_B taint to v_A taint | | |
| f | er (f | $\tau(n, i) \leftarrow \tau(f_{\mathbf{p}})$ | Set y , taint to field $f_{\rm D}$ taint | | |
| sget-op $v_A J_B$ | $v_A \leftarrow J_B$ | $I(OA) \leftarrow I(JB)$ | Set \mathcal{O}_A tank to held \mathcal{J}_B tank | | |
| sget-op $v_A J_B$ iput-op $v_A v_B f_C$ | $v_A \leftarrow J_B \\ v_B(f_C) \leftarrow v_A$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(f_B) \\ \tau(v_B(f_C)) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ | Set field f_C taint to v_A taint | | |
| sget-op v_A J_B iput-op v_A v_B f_C iget-op v_A v_B f_C | $v_A \leftarrow f_B$ $v_B(f_C) \leftarrow v_A$ $v_A \leftarrow v_B(f_C)$ | $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(f_B)$ $\tau(v_B(f_C)) \leftarrow \tau(v_A)$ $\tau(v_A) \leftarrow \tau(v_B(f_C)) \cup \tau(v_B)$ | Set v_A taint to field f_B taint Set field f_C taint to v_A taint Set v_A taint to field f_C and object reference taint | | |



- Applications execute *native methods* through the Java Native Interface (JNI)
- TaintDroid uses a combination of heuristics and method profiles to patch VM tracking state
 - Applications are restricted to only invoking native methods in system-provided libraries



IPC and File Propagation



- TaintDroid uses message level tracking for IPC
 - Applications marshall and unmarshall individual data items
- Persistent storage tracked at the file level
 - Single taint tag stored in the file system XATTR



Performance





CaffeineMark score roughly corresponds to the number of Java instructions per second.

- Memory overhead: 4.4%
- IPC overhead: 27%
- Macro-benchmark:
 - App load: 3% (2ms)
 - Address book: (< 20 ms)
 5.5% create, 18% read
 - Phone call: 10% (10ms)
 - Take picture: 29% (0.5s)



- Taint sources and sinks must be carefully integrated into the existing architectural framework.
- Depends on information properties
 - Low-bandwidth sensors: location, accelerometer
 - High-bandwidth sensors: microphone, camera
 - Information databases: address book, SMS storage
 - Device identifiers: IMEI, IMSI*, ICC-ID, Ph. #
 - Network taint sink

Application Study



 Selected 30 applications with bias on popularity and access to Internet, location, microphone, and camera

| applications | # | permissions |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|-------------|
| The Weather Channel, Cetos, Solitarie, Movies, Babble, Manga Browser | 6 | |
| Bump, Wertago, Antivirus, ABC Animals, Traffic Jam, Hearts, Blackjack, Horoscope, 3001 Wisdom Quotes Lite, Yellow Pages, Datelefonbuch, Astrid, BBC News Live Stream, Ringtones | 14 | |
| Layer, Knocking, Coupons, Trapster, Spongebot Slide, ProBasketBall | 6 | |
| MySpace, Barcode Scanner, ixMAT | 3 | 6 |
| Evernote | | |

• Of 105 flagged connections, only 37 clearly legitimate

Findings - Location



- I5 of the 30 applications shared physical location with an ad server (admob.com, ad.qwapi.com, ads.mobclix.com, data.flurry.com)
- Most traffic was plaintext (e.g., AdMob HTTP GET):

...&s=a14a4a93f1e4c68&..&t=062A1CB1D476DE85 B717D9195A6722A9&d%5Bcoord%5D=47.6612278900 00006%2C-122.31589477&...

- In no case was sharing obvious to user or in EULA
 - In some cases, periodic and occurred without app use

Findings - Phone Identifiers



- 7 applications sent device (IMEI) and 2 apps sent phone info (Ph. #, IMSI*, ICC-ID) to a remote server without informing the user.
 - One app's EULA indicated the IMEI was sent
 - Another app sent the hash of the IMEI
- Frequency was app-specific, e.g., one app sent phone information every time the phone booted.
- Appeared to be sent to app developers ...

"There have been cases in the past on other mobile platforms where well-intentioned developers are simply over-zealous in their data gathering, without having malicious intent." -- Lookout

Limitations



- Approach limitations:
 - TaintDroid only tracks data flows (i.e., explicit flows).
- Taint source limitations:
 - IMSI contains country (MCC) and network (MNC) codes
 - File databases must be all one type





- TaintDroid provides efficient, system-wide, dynamic taint tracking and analysis for Android
- We found 20 of the 30 studied applications to share information in a way that was not expected.
- Source code will be available soon: appanalysis.org
- Future investigations:
 - Provide direct feedback to users
 - Potential for realtime enforcement
 - Integration with expert rating systems





• Demo available at <u>http://appanalysis.org/demo/</u>



* video produced by Peter Gilbert (gilbert@cs.duke.edu)
* special thanks to Gabriel Maganis (maganis@cs.ucdavis.edu) for TaintDroid UI

Questions?



William Enck

Systems and Internet Infrastructure Security (SIIS) Laboratory Department of Computer Science and Engineering The Pennsylvania State University <u>enck@cse.psu.edu</u>

- Additional Team Members
 - Peter Gilbert (Duke University)
 - Byung-Gon Chun (Intel Labs, Berkeley)
 - Landon Cox (Duke University)
 - Jaeyeon Jung (Intel Labs, Seattle)
 - Patrick McDaniel (Penn State University)
 - Anmol Sheth (Intel Labs, Seattle)